



Article Type: Review Article

Available online: www.ujdrh.twistingmemoirs.com

A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW ON ARTICAININE IN DENTAL ANESTHESIA: MECHANISM BENEFITS AND LIMITATIONS

Ayush Bansal¹, Saiyam Walia¹, Hardik Pandita², Mohitbir Singh², Sumit Bagga¹, Pranika Kulshrestha¹

¹Adesh Institute of Dental Sciences and Research

²Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences and Research

Corresponding author: Ayush Bansal , E-mail: ayushbansal499@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Articaine is a fast-acting local anaesthetic that works well for short procedures. Its unique structure, which includes an ester group, allows it to break down quickly in the body. It's commonly used for dental work, nerve blocks, and other types of anaesthesia, like spinal or epidural, and even for regional anaesthesia given through an IV. Studies show that articaine performs similarly to other short-acting anaesthetics like lidocaine and prilocaine, with no strong evidence that it causes more nerve-related side effects. It's considered safe and effective for procedures where you need quick, reliable numbing, making it a great choice for both routine and specialized treatments.

RESULTS: This section synthesizes key findings on articaine's effectiveness, safety and risks based on existing literature. It lays the foundation for discussing the broader implications of articaine's use in dental practices including extractions, root canal treatments and restorative procedures.

CONCLUSION: In conclusion, articaine remains a highly effective and vitally used local anesthetic in dental practices offering numerous advantages such as rapid onset, potent efficacy and relatively safe profile. Overall articaine continues to be a valuable tool for dental professionals but, as with any anesthetic agent, careful patient selection, dosing and monitoring are essential to ensure optimal outcomes and minimize risks.

Keywords: Anesthesia, Articaine, Effective, Dental professional, Lidocaine.

INTRODUCTION

Among various local anesthetic agents available, articaine has become a preferred choice in clinical practices due to its unique pharmacological practices. Articaine was first introduced in 1970s and has since gained widespread popularity in dental practices worldwide. Despite its popularity, however questions regarding its safety particularly in specific patient groups and when administered in higher doses, have sparked ongoing research and debate¹.

Articaine's unique chemical structure sets it apart from other local anesthetics like lidocaine, as the incorporation of an ester group allows for faster metabolism and a reduced potential for systemic toxicity. This distinctive property, along with its relatively high lipid solubility, enables articaine to provide rapid onset and profound anesthesia in both infiltration and nerve block techniques, making it highly versatile in dental procedures².

Furthermore, comparisons between articaine and other commonly used local anesthetics such as lidocaine and prilocaine, have been essential in determining its advantages and limitations in clinical practices. Clinical studies have demonstrated that articaine consistently offers faster onset times, longer duration of action, and superior efficacy, especially in challenging dental regions such as the mandibular molar area³.

This comprehensive review aims to critically assess the available literature on articaine in dental anesthesia, focusing on its mechanisms of action, clinical benefits, safety considerations, and limitations. By synthesizing the most current evidence, this paper seeks to provide dental professionals with a thorough understanding of articaine's role in modern dental anesthesia and help guide informed decisions regarding its use in everyday practice⁴.

METHODOLOGY

This section outlines the methodology employed in conducting the review on "A comprehensive review of Articaine in Dental practices."

Literature Search Strategy

A systematic literature search was conducted to identify relevant studies published in peer-reviewed journals. The following steps were taken:

- **Databases Used:** The search was performed across multiple electronic databases, including PubMed and Google Scholar, to ensure a wide range of literature coverage.
- **Inclusion Criteria:** Studies included in the review were required to meet the following criteria:
 - Clinical studies, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), meta-analyses, systematic reviews, and observational studies that investigated the use of articaine in dental anaesthesia.
 - Studies that addressed both adult and paediatric populations, as well as specific subgroups like those with medical conditions (e.g., cardiovascular disease, pregnancy)
 - Focused on human participants across various demographics (e.g., age, gender)
- **Exclusion Criteria:** Studies were excluded if they:
 - Were not published in peer-reviewed journals
 - Articles not directly related to articaine in dental anaesthesia (e.g., studies focused on non-dental uses of articaine).
 - Publications that lacked primary data or evidence-based conclusions (e.g., opinion pieces or case reports with limited sample sizes).

RESULTS

The findings of this review highlight the superiority of articaine over traditional anesthetics like lidocaine in various aspects of dental anesthesia. The results, derived from multiple randomized controlled trials and observational studies, emphasize articaine's efficacy, safety, and pharmacokinetic advantages.

Efficacy of Articaine

Success Rate in Mandibular and Maxillary Anesthesia

- Articaine consistently demonstrated higher success rates compared to lidocaine in both mandibular and maxillary anesthesia.
- **Mandibular Anesthesia:** Articaine was 2.76 times more effective than lidocaine in achieving successful blocks ($P = 0.0002$)⁶.
- **Maxillary Anesthesia:** Articaine was 2.61 times more effective than lidocaine ($P = 0.0008$), with significant efficacy in single buccal infiltrations⁶

Symptomatic Teeth and Endodontic Procedures

- For symptomatic teeth with irreversible pulpitis, articaine's success rate was 1.89 times higher than lidocaine's ($P = 0.02$).
- Buccal infiltration with articaine, used as a supplementary technique after failed mandibular block anesthesia, proved significantly more effective than lidocaine⁶

Day Care Surgeries

- Articaine (4% with epinephrine 1:1,100,000) and lidocaine (2% with epinephrine 1:1,100,000) exhibited comparable efficacy in minor surgical procedures⁷

Pharmacokinetics and Onset/Duration of Action

Rapid Onset

- Articaine demonstrated faster onset times across various anesthesia techniques. For example, mandibular buccal infiltration took approximately 4.2–4.7 minutes to take effect, compared to lidocaine's 6.1–11.1 minutes.⁷

Prolonged Duration

- The duration of anesthesia was consistently longer with articaine, providing extended pain control during dental procedures⁷

Extraction Procedures

- Articaine was particularly effective in maxillary extractions, eliminating the need for palatal infiltrations in many cases, thereby improving patient comfort.

- However, articaine is not recommended as a substitute for standard mandibular block anesthesia in mandibular molar extractions due to its inconsistent efficacy in profound anesthesia⁸

Comparative Analysis in Regional Anesthesia

- **Brachial Plexus Block:** Articaine exhibited faster onset and shorter duration compared to lidocaine, with a better pharmacokinetic profile due to its higher clearance and elimination rates⁹

DISCUSSION

Articaine has emerged as a pivotal anesthetic agent in dental practice, primarily due to its distinct pharmacological properties and clinical versatility. This review consolidates evidence from various studies to provide an in-depth understanding of its mechanism of action, advantages, and limitations. The findings elucidate the unique attributes of articaine that position it as a superior alternative to conventional anesthetics, such as lidocaine, while also addressing the potential challenges associated with its use.

Mechanism of Action

Articaine, a member of the amide anesthetic class, is unique in its possession of a thiophene ring in place of the benzene ring found in most local anesthetics. This structural difference enhances its lipophilicity, enabling more efficient penetration of neuronal membranes and rapid onset of action⁶. Additionally, articaine's ester group facilitates hydrolysis by plasma esterases, contributing to its rapid systemic clearance and reduced toxicity. These properties make articaine particularly effective for infiltration techniques and supplemental anesthesia, as evidenced in numerous clinical trials¹⁰.

Clinical Benefits

Articaine's benefits are manifold, particularly in the context of dental anesthesia:

- **Enhanced Efficacy**
- **Faster Onset and Prolonged Duration**
- **Reduced Need for Palatal Infiltration**
- **Utility in Complex Cases**
- **Favorable Safety Profile**

Limitations and Challenges

Despite its numerous advantages, articaine is not devoid of limitations. These include:

- **Paraesthesia Concerns**
- **Cost Implications**
- **Contraindications in Certain Populations**
- **Limited Efficacy in Profound Mandibular Block**
- **Heterogeneity in Clinical Data**

CONCLUSION

Articaine is a highly effective and versatile local anesthetic widely used in dental practice. Its rapid onset, potent anesthetic effects and favorable pharmacokinetic profile make it preferred choice for a wide range of dental procedures including extractions, restorations and endodontic treatments¹¹.

Articaine's effectiveness in both infiltration and nerve block techniques, along with its low risk of systemic toxicity, further solidifies its role as a staple in modern dental anesthesia. While the overall safety profile is favorable, rare but serious complications such as nerve injury and methemoglobinemia, warrant caution, particularly in high risk population or when administered improperly¹².

Despite these concerns, articaine continues to offer significant advantages over other anesthetics especially in pediatric dentistry and challenging areas like mandible. As with all anesthetics, careful patient assessment, proper technique and monitoring are essential to minimize risk. Future research into its long term safety, optimal dosing and using diverse patient's population will be crucial in maintaining its position as a reliable and effective choice for dental anesthesia¹³.

Conflict Of Interest

Nil

REFERENCES

1. Chen S, Xiang J, Ji Y. Efficacy of Articaine vs Lignocaine for infiltration anaesthesia during primary molar extractions. *Pak J Med Sci*. 2022 Mar-Apr;38(4Part-II):1048-1055. doi: 10.12669/pjms.38.4.5343. PMID: 35634630; PMCID: PMC9121950.
2. Nagendrababu V, Duncan HF, Whitworth J, Nekoofar MH, Pulikkotil SJ, Veetil SK, Dummer PMH. Is articaine more effective than lidocaine in patients with irreversible pulpitis? An umbrella review. *Int Endod J*. 2020 Feb;53(2):200-213. doi: 10.1111/iej.13215. Epub 2019 Oct 23. PMID: 31491042.
3. Luo W, Zheng K, Kuang H, Li Z, Wang J, Mei J. The potential of articaine as new generation of local anesthesia in dental clinics: A review. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2022 Dec 2;101(48):e32089. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000032089. PMID: 36482533; PMCID: PMC9726299.
4. Drugs and Lactation Database (LactMed®) [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Institute of Child Health and Human Development; 2006–. Articaine. 2024 Dec 15. PMID: 30000805.
5. Saraghi M, Hersh EV. Articaine: an update on its benefits and risks. *Gen Dent*. 2022 May-Jun;70(3):13-16. PMID: 35467537.
6. Almadhoon HW, Abuiriban RW, Almassri H, Al-Hamed FS. EFFICACY OF 4% ARTICAININE BUCCAL INFILTRATION VERSUS INFERIOR ALVEOLAR NERVE BLOCK FOR MANDIBULAR MOLARS WITH SYMPTOMATIC IRREVERSIBLE PULPITIS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS. *J Evid Based Dent Pract*. 2022 Jun;22(2):101712. doi: 10.1016/j.jebdp.2022.101712. Epub 2022 Mar 6. PMID: 35718426.
7. Grubbs H, Strasswimmer J. How We Do It: Articaine for Infiltrative Anesthesia. *Dermatol Surg*. 2023 Nov 1;49(11):1043-1044. doi: 10.1097/DSS.0000000000003909. Epub 2023 Sep 6. PMID: 37682917.
8. Alkandari M, Alshammari M, Ghaleb A, Alshammari T, Alenezi R, Almutairi S. Articaine Versus Mepivacaine in Inferior Alveolar Nerve Block for Patients With Irreversible Pulpitis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials.

- Cureus. 2024 Nov 9;16(11):e73360. doi: 10.7759/cureus.73360. PMID: 39524167; PMCID: PMC11550406.
9. Almasi R, Rezman B, Kriszta Z, Patczai B, Wiegand N, Bogar L. Onset times and duration of analgesic effect of various concentrations of local anesthetic solutions in standardized volume used for brachial plexus blocks. *Heliyon*. 2020 Sep 2;6(9):e04718. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04718. PMID: 32944664; PMCID: PMC7481523.
 10. Taneja S, Singh A, Jain A. Anesthetic Effectiveness of Articaine and Lidocaine in Pediatric Patients During Dental Procedures: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Pediatr Dent*. 2020 Jul 15;42(4):273-281. PMID: 32847666.
 11. Yeung V. Articaine--to use or not to use? *SAAD Dig*. 2016 Jan;32:50-4. PMID: 27145561.
 12. Hopman AJG, Baart JA, Brand HS. Articaine and neurotoxicity - a review. *Br Dent J*. 2017 Nov;223(7):501-506. doi: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2017.782. Epub 2017 Oct 3. PMID: 28972589.
 13. Grubbs H, Strasswimmer J. How We Do It: Articaine for Infiltrative Anesthesia. *Dermatol Surg*. 2023 Nov 1;49(11):1043-1044. doi: 10.1097/DSS.0000000000003909. Epub 2023 Sep 6. PMID: 37682917.